

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/447,712

Applicant(s)

Harvey et al

Examiner

Andrew Faile

Group Art Unit

2604



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Faile

(3) _____

(2) Tom Scott

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 17, 1997

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

NONE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Final rejection mailed 3/19/97 has been vacated. Applicant was contacted in April 1997 and informed the final rejection would be withdrawn, but that the application was presently missing and could not be acted upon until found. The application was located in November 1997 and has been forwarded to the examiner for action. A further action on the merits will be mailed shortly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary Attachment

USPTO Serial No. 08/447,712
Attorney Docket No. 05634.0127
Filing Date: May 23, 1995
Art Unit: 2737
Examiner: FAILE, A.

K. Ward
5/27/00
#26/K. Ward
Interview
Summary

Applicants hereby present a preliminary amendment to the above-identified application adding the following claim(s) from the following application serial number(s), and hereby expressly abandon the following corresponding application(s) as indicated below:

Claims	Applications Serial No(s). (Atty. Docket No.)	Expressly Abandon Application(s)
3-14	08/487,546 (05634.0325)	May 9, 2000
3-44	08/487,556 (05634.0321)	Not Applicable.

The preliminary amendment adding the above-identified claims is hereby entered in the above-identified application and examined along with original claims 3-128. An action on the merits is to follow.

Date: 5/11, 2000.

Andrew I. Faile
ANDREW I. FAILE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700